# Effect of extended treatment with IAP inhibitor xevinapant post radiotherapy on efficacy and the tumor microenvironment in preclinical models

# T.-L. Yeung,<sup>1</sup> F. Jiang,<sup>1</sup> J. Qi,<sup>1\*</sup> H. Yu,<sup>1</sup> L.-Y. Chiu,<sup>1</sup> B. Marelli,<sup>1</sup> H. Wang,<sup>1\*</sup> M. Bonnemaison,<sup>1</sup> M. Jenkins,<sup>1\*</sup> R. Ferretti,<sup>1</sup> R. Lindemann,<sup>2</sup> C. Esdar,<sup>2</sup> Y. Lan<sup>1\*</sup>

# SCOPE

 We evaluated preclinically the effect of extended xevinapant treatment post concurrent radiotherapy (RT) on antitumor efficacy and tumor microenvironment modulation in MC38 syngeneic tumor and in vitro cell culture models

# CONCLUSIONS



- The combination of xevinapant and RT demonstrated improved antitumor efficacy in the MC38 tumor model compared with RT alone
- MC38 syngeneic tumor-bearing mice that received extended dosing of xevinapant post concurrent RT, compared with treatment arms with shorter xevinapant dosing durations, showed improved antitumor efficacy and prolonged survival
- Our data suggests that xevinapant + RT mediates tumor microenvironment responses, including promotion of RTinduced cancer cell apoptosis, enhanced antitumor immunity, and suppressed pro-tumorigenic phenotype of cancerassociated fibroblasts
- Additional studies are warranted to further delineate the mechanisms that underscore the therapeutic benefit offered by extended dosing of xevinapant

### **GET POSTER PDF**

Copies of this poster obtained through this quick respor (QR) code are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without permission from ASCO and the auth of this poster.

Correspondence: Christina Esdar, christina.esdar@emdserono.com

# 



Please scan this QR code with your smartphone app to v supplementary data associated with this poster.





cell sensitivity to apoptosis, induced by RT and • In a randomized phase 2 study of patients with unresected locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck, xevinapant + chemoradiotherapy (CRT) significantly improved locoregional control at 18 months<sup>1</sup> and improved 3-year progression-free survival (HR=0.33 [95% CI, 0.17-0.67], p=0.0019) and 5-year overall survival vs placebo + CRT (HR=0.47 [95% CI, 0.27-0.84], p=0.0101)<sup>2</sup>

• A phase 3 confirmatory study (TrilynX, NCT04459715) and a phase 3 study to evaluate xevinapant + RT in postoperative, high-risk, cisplatin-ineligible patients (XRay Vision, NCT05386550) are ongoing. In these studies, patients receive 3 additional cycles of xevinapant or placebo monotherapy after completing combination treatment<sup>3</sup>

Based on the role of IAPs in apoptosis,<sup>4</sup> antitumor immunity,<sup>5,6</sup> and stromal activation,<sup>7,8</sup> continual dosing of xevinapant post RT may deliver additional therapeutic benefit through modulation of multiple tumor microenvironment compartments

# **RESULTS**

# In vivo efficacy



and **2B**)

2,500 **E** 2,000

> ---- RT + xevinapant 100 mg/kg 2 weeks — RT + xevinapant 100 mg/kg 4 weeks

**RT,** radiotherapy.

# **Reprogramming of immune compartments**



alone, respectively (**Figure 3A**) • Trends towards increased numbers of natural killer cells and dendritic cells as well as fewer regulatory T cells in the combination arm, compared with their corresponding baselines, were observed (**Figure 3B**)

1. E. E. Bergmann C, et al. Cancer Inmunol Res. 2023;183:24-37. 3. Bourhis J, et al. Cancer Inmunol Res. 2023;11(4):450-65. 7. Ashley SL, et al. Cancer Inmunol Res. 2023;11(4):450-65. 7. Ashley SL, et al. Cancer Internucl Res. 2023;11(4):450-65. 7. Ashley SL, et al. Cancer Internucl Res. 2023;11(4):450-65. 7. Ashley SL, et al. Cancer Internucl Res. 2023;113:102492. 8. Bergmann C, et al. Cancer Internucl Res. 2023;113:102492. 8. Bergmann C, et al. Cancer Internucl Res. 2023;113:102492. 8. Bergmann C, et al. Cancer Internucl Res. 2023;11(4):450-65. 7. Ashley SL, et al. Cancer Internucl Res. 2023;11(4):450-65. 7. Ashl Acknowledgments: Medical writing support was provided by Clinical Thinking, which was funder ID: 10.13039/100009945) in accordance with Good Publication Practice guidelines (https://www.ismpp.org/gpp-2022). Disclosures: T.-L. Yeung, F. Jiang, H. Yu, L.-Y. Chiu, B. Marelli, M. Bonnemaison, and R. Ferretti are employees of EMD Serono, Billerica, MA, USA, at the time of the research. R. Lindemann and C. Esdar are employees of the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany.

### Poster No. 6027. Presented at the 2023 ASCO Annual Meeting, June 2-6, 2023; Chicago, IL, USA.

<sup>1</sup>EMD Serono, Billerica, MA, USA; <sup>2</sup>The healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany. \*Affiliation at the time of the study.

Xevinapant + RT combination treatment showed increased antitumor efficacy compared with vehicle control and RT alone (Figure 2A and Supplemental Figure 1) Extended dosing of xevinapant for 4 weeks further improved antitumor efficacy and animal survival vs treatment arms with shorter xevinapant dosing duration (Figure 2A



### Figure 2. Antitumor efficacy

Median survival time, dave 10 Days 18 Days 22.5 Days

Days

40 50

23.5 Days 31 Days

Log-rank (Cox-Mantel) test: p<0.0001

In a pharmacodynamic study with immune phenotyping by FACS (Supplemental Figure 2), the combination of xevinapant + RT modulated infiltration and phenotype of CD8+ T cells. Overall, a trend of increased number of CD8+ TILs and antigenspecific TILs was observed in the combination arm compared with baseline and RT

# METHODS

- syngeneic tumor model 5 days on, 2 days off for 1, 2, or 4 weeks) + RT (**Figure 1**)
  - The effect of xevinapant + RT on other tumor microenvironment cell types, including cancer cells and fibroblasts, was evaluated by in vitro cell culture models
  - Proliferation assays and expression level measurement of cleaved-caspase 3 in MC38 cells treated with xevinapant + RT was performed to assess radiosensitization and induction of apoptosis
  - Cancer-associated fibroblast markers and gene expression were profiled on fibroblast cell lines to determine impact on radiationinduced cancer-associated fibroblast activation and extracellular matrix protein production by xevinapant

# Figure 3. Immune modulation by xevinapant + RT\*



Immune cell type	
	RT
CD8 <sup>+</sup> cell	
CD8 <sup>+</sup> /P15E Pentamer <sup>+</sup> T cell	
CD4 <sup>+</sup> T cell	
Treg	
NK cell	
NKT cell	
ТАМ	- M1 T.
Dendritic cell	
Neutrophil	
MDSC	

Note: size and number of arrows indicate magnitude of change vs vehicle control.

\*p values from Mann-Whitney tests.

**CD,** cluster of differentiation; **IM,** intramuscularly; **MDSC,** myeloid-derived suppressor cell; **NK,** natural killer; **NKT,** natural killer T; **RT,** radiotherapy; **TAM,** tumor associated macrophages; **TIL,** tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte; **Treg,** regulatory T cell.

### The impact of xevinapant dosing duration on antitumor efficacy and animal survival was evaluated with the intramuscular MC38

- Tumor-bearing mice were treated with vehicle control, RT alone (3.6 Gy every day [QD] for 5 days), or xevinapant (100 mg/kg QD
- To assess treatment-mediated tumor microenvironment modulation of immune compartments by combination of xevinapant + RT, an in vivo pharmacodynamic study with MC38 tumors was performed
- The immune compartments of tumors were evaluated by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)–based immune profiling – Tumor-specific T-cell response was investigated by ex vivo enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot (ELISpot) assays







### **Tumor-specific immune response**

- control and monotherapies (**Figure 4A**)
- immunologic memory (**Figure 4B**)



- growth in a dose-dependent manner (**Figure 5A**)
- apoptosis in MC38 cells by the combination therapy (**Figure 5B**)
- derived secretory protein gene expression (Figure 5C)

### **Supplemental Figure 1. Antitumor efficacy**



### Individual tumor volume

RT, radiotherapy.

### Supplemental Figure 2. Immune modulation by xevinapant + RT ELISpot design



### Treatment Groups: N=6 per group

- 1. Vehicle
- 2. RT (3.6 Gy QD for 4 days) + vehicle
- 3. Xevinapant (100 mg/kg QD 5 days on, 2 days off)
- 4. Xevinapant (100 mg/kg QD 5 days on, 2 days off) + RT

ELISpot, enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot; IM, intramuscularly; QD, every day; RT, radiotherapy.

## Supplemental Figure 3. Modulation of fibroblast phenotype by xevinapant and RT



### **Proposed mechanism of action (MoA)**

- Evidence suggests that IAP inhibitors could enhance the efficacy of anti-cancer treatments by re-sensitizing cancer cells to apoptosis and modulate immune cell types; however, some mechanistic detail remains unexplored, including the tumor microenvironment impact by IAP inhibitors in combination with RT and how continuous administration of IAP inhibitors post RT may confer additional therapeutic benefit
- To address this gap in knowledge, we utilize preclinical models to delineate the MoA underlying the therapeutic benefit mediated by 1) combination therapy vs monotherapy and 2) extended xevinapant dosing post RT
- Based on the current findings, we believe that the combination of xevinapant + RT may modulate several key tumor microenvironment components (Figure 6):
  - Combination treatment directly promotes cell death via apoptotic pathways
  - For stromal fibroblasts, a highly relevant compartment in the radiation therapy setting, xevinapant may inhibit radiation-mediated fibroblast activation and reduced collagen production resulting in fibroblasts that are less tumor promoting and immunosuppressive
  - Xevinapant + RT promotes tumor-specific T-cell response and continual dosing of xevinapant post RT may encourage the development of a stronger immunological memory