This is a reprint from the 9th Joint ECTRIMS-ACTRIMS Meeting held from 11–13 October 2023, which was originally published in Milan, Italy; the references to "Merck" or "Merck KGaA" within refer to (1) Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany; (2) an affiliate of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany; or (3) one of the businesses of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany, which operate as EMD Serono in the healthcare, MilliporeSigma in the life science and EMD Electronics in the electronics business in the U.S. and Canada.

There are two different, unaffiliated companies that use the name "Merck". Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany, which is providing this content, uses the firm name "Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany" and the business names EMD Serono in the healthcare, MilliporeSigma in the life science and EMD Electronics in the electronics business in the U.S. and Canada. The other company, Merck & Co., Inc. holds the rights in the trademark "Merck" in the U.S. and Canada. Merck & Co., Inc. is not affiliated with or related to Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany, which owns the "Merck" trademark in all other countries of the world.

A novel autoantigen discovery platform for the identification of diagnostic and theragnostic autoantibody biomarkers in multiple sclerosis

Europe B. DiCillo¹, Evgueni Kountikov¹, Minghua Zhu¹, Stefan Lanker^{2*}, Danielle E. Harlow², Elizabeth Piette², Weiguo Zhang¹, Brooke Hayward^{2*}, Joshua Heuler¹, Julie Korich², Jeffrey L. Bennett³, David S. Pisetsky⁴, Thomas F. Tedder¹

¹Department of Integrative Immunobiology, Duke University Medical Center; Durham, NC, USA. ²EMD Serono, Inc.; Rockland, MA, USA, an affiliate of Merck KGaA. ³Departments of Neurology and Opthalmology, Programs in Neurosciences and Immunology – University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus; Aurora, CO, USA. ⁴Departments of Medicine and Immunology, Duke University Medical Center and Medical Research Service, Veterans Administration Medical Center; Durham, NC, USA. *denotes former affiliations.

Abstract

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a debilitating autoimmune disease characterized by axonal loss and demyelination of the central nervous system. Early diagnosis and treatment of MS are critical for limiting disability and preventing disease progression; however, diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers are currently lacking. Autoantibody profiles offer a unique avenue for detecting and classifying MS patients. This study describes the development and use of a novel autoantigen discovery system, the Antigenome Platform, for the discovery of autoantibody profiles associated with MS diagnosis and treatment.

The Antigenome platform agnostically and reproducibly surveys autoantibody binding to large protein fragments (up to 250 amino acids) derived from multiple cell sources, thereby representing 90% of the human genome. The Antigenome Platform was used to screen serum samples from 102 MS patients from the REFLEX (Rebif FLEXible dosing in early MS) trial¹. This study aimed to identify novel autoantigen targets associated with clinically isolated syndrome (CIS), a stage of disease that often precedes MS, conversion from CIS to MS by McDonald criteria (McDonald MS), and patient response to IFN-beta1a (Rebif®) therapy.

This study identifies expression of autoantibodies to 166 autoantigen targets selected by >10% of MS patients' sera. Of these autoantibodies, 10 serve as biomarkers suggestive of conversion from CIS to MS and 17 associate with interferon beta-1a therapy response. This study suggests widespread autoantibody production occurs in MS and provides novel biomarkers for continued study and prediction of disease progression.

Methodology The Antigenome technology utilizes high-diversity phage libraries of in-frame, protein domain-sized fragments, which are probed with serum antibodies







(A) Expression library construction. mRNA purified from four types of human cells was fragmented to generate domain-sized open reading frame transcripts encoding polypeptides. Distinct in-frame transcripts (>10⁶) were genetically barcoded for library construction. (B) Schema for autoantigen selection by sera and data generation. Phage displaying domains with linear and conformational epitopes were immunoselected by serum IgGbound protein G-paramagnetic beads. Samples were multiplexed, identified by deep sequencing, quantified, and statistically analyzed. (C) Venn Diagram shows the overlap of genes included in the library from each cell source. (D) Representative protein domains of the ACTB gene expressed within the pooled cDNA library after selection. Black lines represent expressed library protein fragments of the ACTB protein. The 112 fragments shown represent 2554 total ACTB fragments in the library.

Results: Figure 1 The Antigenome Platform allows antigen-specific

Results: Figure 3

Autoantibody expression associated with conversion from CIS to MS with and without

selection that is reproducible and individual-specific



(A) Immunoselection results for nine rabbit antisera generated against domains of the indicated human target antigens. Rabbit antisera generated against specific domains of the following proteins were included: AbI Interactor 2 (ABI2), Atrophin 1 (ATN1), Caldesmon 1 (CALD1), DEAD-Box Helicase 5 (DDX5), Integrin Beta 1 (ITGB1), Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase 9 (MAPK9), Non-POU Domain Containing Octamer Binding (NONO), Proliferating Cell Nuclear Antigen (PCNA), Ubiquitin Like Modifier Activating Enzyme 1 (UBA1). Protein domains selected by the rabbit antisera antibody (Ab), domains selected by a control serum, and the relative density of domains available for selection in the input library are all shown. (B-C) Scatter plot showing autoantigens (dots) selected in two independent experiments using (B) the same serum sample (from Donor #1) or (C) two different serum samples (one from Donor #1 and one from an age-matched control serum). Axes indicate sequencing counts (log10 scale).

Results: Figure 2 Serum autoantibodies from CIS patients consistently select autoantigen targets that are distinct from healthy controls.

/alue) A 8 4 3	● HC ● CIS-Set-1	Tissu	е	MS-enriched antigens with enriched or enhanced expression
-log10(q-	-3 -2 -1 0 -1 2 3 4 Difference	Low tis	sue city	69% (115/166)
		Skeletal N	luscle	10% (10/166)
		Brair	า	6% (10/166)
4		Lymphoid	tissue	2% (4/166)
		Skir		2% (3/166)



Venn diagram shows the overlap of autoantibody enrichment in CIS patients within the placebo group who converted to MS during the REFLEX trial (PBO-C) and patients who did not convert (PBO-NC) Enrichment is based on statistical comparison (Wilcoxon-Rank test, p-val <.05, q-val <.2) of each CIS group compared to HC. Smaller circles indicate the number of autoantigens selected by >10% of PBO-C (blue) or PBO-NC (red) from the uniquely enriched PBO-C (89) or PBO-NC (22) autoantigens. (B) Venn diagram shows the overlap of autoantibody enrichment in CIS patients within the IFN-beta1a treatment group who converted to MS during the REFLEX trial (RNF-C) and patients who did not convert (RNF-NC). Enrichment is based on statistical comparison (Wilcoxon-Rank test, p-val <.05, q-val <.2) of each CIS group compared to HC. Smaller circles indicate the number of autoantigens selected by >10% of RNF-C (purple) or RNF-NC (green) from uniquely enriched RNF-C (91) or RNF-NC (46) autoantigens. (C) Venn diagram shows the overlap of antigens enriched in each MS subgroup compared to HC.



We utilized a predictive modeling approach to evaluate whether expression of a group of autoantibodies at baseline can predict whether a CIS patient will convert to MS in the absence of therapeutic intervention (using placebo samples). We identified 10 autoantibodies that predict conversion or lack of conversion. (A-E) LASSO model parameters for predicting conversion/non-conversion from CIS to MS in the absence of therapeutic intervention using REFLEX placebo samples. (A) The Solution Path Plot displays values of the estimated parameters, where each curve represents a predictive term in the

model. Negative parameter estimates represent a contribution to the placebo-convert (PBO-C) outcome, where as positive



(A-B) The 102 CIS serum samples were evenly divided into two groups, CIS-Set-1 and CIS-Set-2. Individual autoantigens (dots) are statistically compared between the HC group (n=43) and (A) The first group of CIS patients (CIS-Set-1; n=51; month 0), (B) The second group of CIS patients (CIS-Set-2; n=51; month 0). The x-axis represents the difference in sequencing count means between cohorts taken from log2-transformed sequencing counts. The y-axis represents the negative log10 of q-values (higher values indicate greater significance). Autoantigens above the horizontal line (q = .2) are considered significant. Kruskal-Wallis rank test was used for all statistical comparisons. (C) Venn diagram shows the overlap of autoantigens selected by CIS-Set-1 and CIS-Set-2. The autoantigens reproducibly selected were extracted and filtered for autoantigens selected by at least 10% of all CIS patients; 166 autoantigens remained. (D) Table showing the percent autoantigens (of the 166 CIS-enriched autoantigens from (C)) with enhanced or enriched expression in a human tissue (Human Protein Atlas database).

Conclusions

Patterns of autoantibody expression define MS and MS subgroups, which may be useful as biomarkers and provide insight into the pathogenesis of MS. Patterns of 17 and 10 autoantibodies associate with conversion to MS with and without therapeutic intervention, respectively. Additional studies are needed to validate the proposed autoantibody panels for MS prognosis and therapeutic decision making.

values represent a contribution to the placebo-non-convert (PBO-NC) outcome. (B) The Validation Plot includes a curve for both the training and validation sets at various magnitudes of scaled parameter estimates. In each plot (A) and (B), the x-axis represents the I1 norm, and the vertical red line represents the value of the I1 norm for the best and chosen solution. (C) The Area Under the Curve (AUC) values for the Training, Validation, and Test samples. (D) Effects chart. "Main Effect" shows the relative contribution of the predictor to the model alone, and "Total Effect" shows the relative contribution of the predictor when other predictors are also taken into account.





parameters, where each curve represents a predictive term in the model. (B) The Validation Plot includes a curve for both the training and validation sets at various magnitudes of scaled parameter estimates. In each plot (A) and (B), the x-axis represents the /1 norm, and the vertical red line represents the value of the /1 norm for the best and chosen solution. (C) The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for the Training, Validation, and Test samples and the associated Area Under the Curve (AUC) values. (D) Effects chart. "Main Effect" shows the relative contribution of the predictor to the model alone, and "Total Effect" shows the relative contribution of the predictor when other predictors are also taken into account.

Disclosure: This study was sponsored by the Multiple Sclerosis Leadership and Innovation Network (MS-Link) funded by EMD Serono Research and Development Institute, Inc., Billerica, MA, USA, an affiliate of Merck KGaA (CrossRef Funder ID: 10.13039/100004755) who reviewed and provided feedback on the poster. This study was supported by a Technology Enhancement Grant from the North Carolina Biotechnology Center

0.3

0.2

0.1

0

P80

A86

ASS

ASA

■ Main Effect

P80

AB AB

A87

Total Effect