Effects of evobrutinib, a Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitor, on slowly expanding lesions: an emerging imaging marker of chronic tissue loss in multiple sclerosis

<u>Douglas L Arnold</u>¹, Colm Elliott¹, Xavier Montalban², Emily Martin³, Yann Hyvert⁴, Davorka Tomic⁵

¹NeuroRx Research, Montreal, QC, Canada

²Department of Neurology-Neuroimmunology, Centre d'Esclerosi Múltiple de Catalunya (Cemcat), Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain

³EMD Serono, Billerica, MA, USA

⁴The healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany

⁵Ares Trading SA, Eysins, Switzerland, an affiliate of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany

Disclosures

Douglas L Arnold has received personal fees for consulting from Albert Charitable Trust, Alexion, Biogen, Celgene, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd., Frequency Therapeutics, Genentech, Med-Ex Learning, **Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany,** Novartis, Receptos and Sanofi-Aventis; grants from Biogen and Novartis; and has an equity interest in NeuroRx Research.

Colm Elliott is an employee of NeuroRx Research.

Xavier Montalban has received personal compensation for serving on a Scientific Advisory or Data Safety Monitoring board for Alexion, Biogen, Celgene/Receptos, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd., Genzyme, Medday, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany, EMD Serono, NervGen, Novartis, TG Therapeutics and Sanofi-Genzyme; his institution has received compensation for consultancy for Biogen, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd., Medday, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany, EMD Serono and Novartis, and research support from Abbvie, Biogen, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd., Medday, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany, Novartis, Sanofi and Teva.

Emily Martin is an employee of EMD Serono.

Yann Hyvert is/was an employee of the healthcare business of Merck Healthcare KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany and EMD Serono. An immediate family member of Yann Hyvert is/was an employee of the healthcare business of Merck Healthcare KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany and EMD Serono.

Davorka Tomic is an employee of Ares Trading SA, Eysins, Switzerland, an affiliate of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany and received stock or an ownership interest from Novartis.

This study was sponsored by the healthcare business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany (CrossRef Funder ID: 10.13039/100009945). Medical writing assistance was provided by Bioscript Stirling Ltd, Macclesfield, UK.

The authors thank the patients and their families, as well as the investigators and study teams, for their participation in this study.

Previously presented at the European Committee for Treatment and Research in Multiple Sclerosis (ECTRIMS) 2021 Virtual Congress | October 13–15, 2021 and the European Charcot Foundation (ECF) 2021 | November 14–18, 2021.

Slowly expanding lesions (SELs) as a marker of clinical progression in MS

- Chronic active lesions (defined on histology and also known as smouldering lesions, mixed active/inactive lesions or slowly expanding lesions) are chronically active, demyelinated MS lesions, likely driven by sustained microglia/macrophage activity, resulting in the progressive accumulation of irreversible neural tissue damage and axonal loss¹
- SELs (defined on MRI) can be identified as areas within pre-existing T2 lesions that show gradual, radial expansion over time. These identify areas of ongoing tissue damage within chronic lesions and, at least, a subset of chronic active lesions that show expansion over time
- SEL activity and ongoing tissue damage within SELs predict long-term disability²

T1 weighted MRI

T2 weighted MRI



MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; **MS**, multiple sclerosis; **SEL**, slowly expanding lesions 1. Elliott C, et al. *Mult Scler*. 2019;25:1915–25; 2. Elliott C, et al. *Brain*. 2019;142:2787–99



Up arrow indicates an increase; Down arrow indicates a decrease; BCR, B cell receptor; BTK, Bruton's tyrosine kinase; BTKi-1, tool BTK inhibitor with similar properties to evobrutinib; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; CNS, central nervous system; Gd+, gadolinium-enhancing; MS, multiple sclerosis. 1. Becker A, et al. *Clin Trans Sci.* 2020;13:325–36; 2. Piasecka-Stryczynska K, et al. *Mult Scler Relat Disord*. 2021;51:103001; 3. Torke S, et al. *Acta Neuropathol*. 2020;140:535–48; 4. López-Herrera G, et al. *J Leukoc Biol*. 2014;95:243–50; 5. Haselmayer P, et al. *J Immunol*. 2019;202:2888–906; 6. Rijvers L, et al. *Mult Scler*. 2020;26(Suppl. 3):312 [Abstract P0403]; 7. Alankus YB, et al. *Mult Scler*. 2018;24(Suppl. 2):264 [Abstract P557]; 8. Montalban X, et al. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;380:2406–17; 9. Kuhle, J et al. *Mult Scler*. 2021;27(Suppl 2):70 [Abstract 116]; 10. Elliott C, et al. *Mult Scler*. 2019;25:1915–25; 11. Arnold D, et al. *Mult Scler*. 2021;27(Suppl 2):69 [Abstract 115]; 12. Kuhle J, et al. *Neurology*. 2021;96(22):e2783–8; 13. Kebir H, et al. *Neurology*. 2021;96(15 Suppl.):4162; 14. Martin E, et al. *Brain Plasticity*. 2020;5:123–33



To evaluate the effect of evobrutinib treatment versus comparator on SEL volume, with SELs identified via MRI assessments (at baseline, Weeks 12, 16, 20, 24, 48 and end of treatment) in a Phase II trial

MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; SEL, slowly expanding lesions

Phase II study: investigation of evobrutinib in patients with relapsing MS



*120 mg BID for the first 7 days, followed by 240 mg BID for the duration of treatment

BID, twice daily; **DMF**, dimethyl fumarate; **Gd+**, gadolinium-enhancing; **MS**, multiple sclerosis; **no.**, number; **QD**, once daily; **R**, randomization; **SD**, standard deviation Montalban X, et al. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;380:2406–17

SEL detection on MRI

SELs are identified as contiguous areas of existing T2 lesion (≥ 10 voxels) showing positive local change as indicated by the Jacobian determinant





Positive Jacobian w/in T2 lesion mask

SEL candidates



MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; SEL, slowly expanding lesions; T2 lesions, identified via T2-weighted MRI; w/in, within Elliott C, et al. Mult Scler. 2017;23 (Suppl. 3):52-3 (Abstract/OP 186; Detection and characterisation of slowly evolving lesions in... ECTRIMS Online Library. Elliott C. Oct 27 2017; 202544 (ectrims-congress.eu)

Statistical analyses

 Two stratified analyses* of SEL volume (absolute) and SEL volume as a percentage of baseline T2 lesion volume were conducted:

Analysis name	Time period	Patients	Strata	Treatment effect analysis
(1) Stratified analysis – all patients	Baseline through Week 48/EOT	Treatment completers and early discontinuers	Baseline T2 lesion volume tertiles†: • ≤8 cc • 8–19 cc • ≥19 cc	Stratified Hodges–Lehmann estimate of shift in SEL volume distribution (absolute or percent) and stratified Wilcoxon rank sum test
(2) Stratified analysis – completers	Baseline through Week 48	Treatment completers		

 Subgroup analyses: Evobrutinib high dose: 	Evobrutinib 75 mg QD		+	Evobrutinib 75 mg BID	
	VERSUS				
– Evobrutinib low dose:	Placebo	Evobrutinib 25 mg QD	÷	Evobrutinib 25 mg QD	

*Based on the modified intention-to-treat analysis set; †≤8000 mm³, 8000−19,000 mm³, ≥19,000 mm³ **BID**, twice daily; **EOT**, end of treatment; **QD**, once daily; **SEL**, slowly expanding lesions

Baseline characteristics

	Placebo/evobrutinib 25 mg QD (n=53)	Evobrutinib 25 mg QD (n=50)	Evobrutinib 75 mg QD (n=51)	Evobrutinib 75 mg BID (n=53)					
Sex , n (%)									
Male	14 (26.4)	18 (36.0)	16 (31.4)	17 (32.1)					
Female	39 (73.6)	32 (64.0)	35 (68.6)	36 (67.9)					
Age , years (mean ±SD)	41.6 ±10.8	42.4 ±9.4	42.9 ±10.1	42.2 ±11.5					
Time since MS onset, years, n (%)									
<8.5 years	32 (60.4)	26 (52.0)	20 (39.2)	23 (43.4)					
≥8.5 years	21 (39.6)	23 (46.0)	31 (60.8)	30 (56.6)					
Type of MS									
RRMS	47 (88.7)	42 (84.0)	43 (84.3)	47 (88.7)					
SPMS	6 (11.3)	8 (16.0)	8 (15.7)	6 (11.3)					
Number of relapses in 2 years pre-randomization, n (%)									
≤1 relapse (non-HDA)	26 (49.1)	27 (54.0)	18 (35.3)	25 (47.2)					
≥2 relapses (HDA)	27 (50.9)	23 (46.0) 33 (64.7)		28 (52.8)					
EDSS score, n (%)									
≤3	27 (50.9)	28 (56.0)	22 (43.1)	28 (52.8)					
≥3.5	26 (49.1)	22 (44.0)	29 (56.9)	25 (47.2)					
T2 lesion volume , cc (mean ±SD)	15.9 ±12.6	13.8 ±11.7	14.0 ±12.2	19.0 ±13.5					

mITT analysis set. **BID**, twice daily; **EDSS**, Expanded Disability Status Scale; **HDA**, high disease activity; **mITT**, modified intention-to-treat; **MS**, multiple sclerosis; **QD**, once daily; **RRMS**, relapsing-remitting MS; **SD**, standard deviation; **SPMS**, secondary-progressive MS

Evobrutinib reduced SEL volume in a dose-dependent manner



SEL volume decreased with increasing evobrutinib dose relative to the placebo

*p value <0.05 BID, twice daily; CI, confidence interval; QD, once daily; SEL, slowly expanding lesions

Evobrutinib reduced SEL volume (%) in a dose-dependent manner



SEL volume, as a percentage of baseline T2 lesion volume, decreased with increasing evobrutinib dose relative to placebo

*p value < 0.05

Unstratified test results show that most of the adjustment for baseline T2 lesion volume is accomplished by using the percent volume endpoint with additional adjustment accomplished by using stratification **BID**, twice daily; **CI**, confidence interval; **QD**, once daily; **SEL**, slowly expanding lesions

SEL volume by tertiles of baseline T2 lesion volume



Tertiles of baseline T2 lesion volume (cc) in overall population – Tertile 1: $\leq 8 \text{ cc} (\leq 8000 \text{ mm}^3)$; Tertile 2: 8–19 cc ($8000-19,000 \text{ mm}^3$); Tertile 3: $\geq 19 \text{ cc} (\geq 19,000 \text{ mm}^3)$ SEL volume based on MRI assessments from baseline through Week 48/EOT PID twice doiby EOT and of treatment MDL magnetic researches (2000 mm^3); Tertile 2: 8–19 cc ($8000-19,000 \text{ mm}^3$); Tertile 3: $\geq 19 \text{ cc} (\geq 19,000 \text{ mm}^3)$

BID, twice daily; EOT, end of treatment; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; QD, once daily; SD, standard deviation; SEL, slowly expanding lesions

The effect of evobrutinib on SEL volume was also evident in patients with more advanced disease

(1) STRATIFIED ANALYSIS: ALL PATIENTS (2) STRATIFIED ANALYSIS: COMPLETERS (Week 48) Favors Favors High dose Low dose High dose Low dose Location shift [95% CI] Location shift [95% CI] p value p value Overall -286.0 [-678.0, 0.0] 0.051 -323.8 [-726.5, -25.0] 0.026* Baseline EDSS ≤ 3 -73.0 [-531.3, 175.0] -165.5 [-552.3, 124.8] 0.545 0.387 Baseline EDSS \geq 3.5 -652.0 [-1507.0, -100.0] 0.020* -713.0 [-1555.3, -166.0] 0.007* Non-HDA $(\leq 1 \text{ relapse in } 2 \text{ years})$ -304.5 [-1079.0, 269.0] 0.340 -273.5 [-1059.0, 183.0] 0.281 pre-randomization) HDA $(\geq 2 \text{ relapse in } 2 \text{ years})$ -273.8 [-741.0, 40.0] -360.0 [-856.0, -24.0] 0.084 0.032* pre-randomisation) Recent disease onset -12.0 [-346.0, 257.0] 0.923 -67.0 [-429.0, 213.5] 0.742 (<8.5 years) Protracted disease onset -729.3 [-1706.5, -20.0] 0.040* -786.0 [-1737.0, -69.0] 0.025* $(\geq 8.5 \text{ years})$ -2000 -1500 -1000 -500 -2000 -1500 -1000 -500 Ω 500 0 500 Decreasing SEL volume (mm³) Increasing SEL volume (mm³) Decreasing SEL volume (mm³) Increasing SEL volume (mm³)

Overall: (high dose/low dose) n=104/n=103; **EDSS** ≤ 3 : n=50/n=55; **EDSS** ≥ 3.5 : n=54/n=48; **non-HDA**: n=43/n=53; **HDA**: n=61/n=50; **Recent onset:** n=43/n=58; **Protracted onset:** n=61/n=44**High dose:** evobrutinib 75 mg QD + BID; **Low dose:** placebo/evobrutinib 25 mg QD + evobrutinib 25 mg QD; *p value <0.05 **BID**, twice daily; **CI**, confidence interval; **EDSS**, Expanded Disability Status Scale; **HDA**, high disease activity; **QD**, once daily; **SEL**, slowly expanding lesions

The effect of evobrutinib on SEL volume (%) was also evident in patients with more advanced disease

(1) STRATIFIED ANALYSIS: ALL PATIENTS (2) STRATIFIED ANALYSIS: COMPLETERS (Week 48) Favors Favors High dose Low dose Location shift [95% CI] High dose Low dose Location shift [95% CI] p value p value -2.97[-5.00, -0.81]-3.38 [-5.53, -1.28] 0.002* Overall 0.006*Baseline EDSS ≤ 3 -1.51 [-4.15, 1.30] 0.263 -1.77 [-4.84, 0.93] 0.225 Baseline EDSS \geq 3.5 -4.89 [-8.37, -1.64] 0.004* -5.35 [-8.85, -2.14] 0.002* Non-HDA $(\leq 1 \text{ relapse in } 2 \text{ years})$ -2.94 [-6.17, 0.34] 0.072 -3.06 [-6.58, 0.19] 0.070 pre-randomization) HDA $(\geq 2 \text{ relapse in } 2 \text{ years})$ -2.68 [-5.43, 0.06] -3.50 [-6.33, -0.39] 0.027* 0.062 pre-randomisation) Recent disease onset -0.51 [-3.80, 2.48] -1.33 [-4.58, 1.88] 0.700 0.416 (<8.5 years) Protracted disease onset -4.42 [-7.96, -1.26] -4.72 [-8.29, -1.69] 0.003* 0.005* $(\geq 8.5 \text{ years})$ -2 0 -10 -2 -10 -8 2 Decreasing SEL volume (%) Increasing SEL volume (%) Decreasing SEL volume (%) Increasing SEL volume (%)

Overall: (high dose/low dose) n=104/n=103; **EDSS** \leq 3: n=50/n=55; **EDSS** \geq 3.5: n=54/n=48; **non-HDA**: n=43/n=53; **HDA**: n=61/n=50; **Recent onset:** n=43/n=58; **Protracted onset:** n=61/n=44**High dose:** evobrutinib 75 mg QD + BID; **Low dose:** placebo/evobrutinib 25 mg QD + evobrutinib 25 mg QD; *p value <0.05 **BID**, twice daily; **CI**, confidence interval; **EDSS**, Expanded Disability Status Scale; **HDA**, high disease activity; **QD**, once daily; **SEL**, slowly expanding lesions

Conclusions



- Evobrutinib reduces SEL volume (absolute or percent) in a dose-dependent manner in relapsing MS
 - Greatest volume reduction with evobrutinib 75 mg BID
- The effect of evobrutinib on SEL volume was also especially apparent in patients with more advanced disease and greater T2 lesion volume (subgroup analysis)



- The suppression of SEL volume in the evobrutinib treatment groups relative to the placebo treatment group suggests that evobrutinib has an effect on myeloid cells (including microglia and macrophages) within the CNS
- Progressive accumulation of irreversible neural tissue damage and axonal loss as measured by SELs may be predictive of long-term clinical progression^{1,2}

This is the first evidence that a BTK inhibitor impacts brain lesions associated with chronic inflammation and tissue loss

BID, twice daily; **BTK**, Bruton's tyrosine kinase; **CNS**, central nervous system; **MS**, multiple sclerosis; **SEL**, slowly expanding lesions 1. Elliott C, et al. *Mult Scler*. 2019;25:1915–25; 2. Elliott C, et al. *Brain*. 2019;142:2787–99